

# Temporary Agricultural Labour in Canada: Possible New Directions for HCI

Temporary Agricultural Workers in Canada

Possible New Directions for HCI

Olivia, Doggett

Faculty of Information, University of Toronto, olivia.doggett@mail.utoronto.ca

Matt, Ratto

Faculty of Information, University of Toronto, matt.ratto@utoronto.ca

This qualitative research study considers the rise in temporary agriculture labour alongside recent developments in agri-food technologies in Canada. Given the prominence and precarity of temporary agricultural labour in Canada, it is critical to investigate how emerging technologies impact the social and hierarchical nature of relationships and labour processes on farm sites as well as how these technologies are designed to participate in existing agricultural processes. This study will rely on semi-structured interviews with migrant farmworkers, greenhouse owners, and agri-tech company subject matter experts and on-site visitations to greenhouses in southwestern Ontario to conduct this qualitative research.

CCS CONCEPTS • Human-centered computing~Collaborative and social computing~Empirical studies in collaborative and social computing • Applied computing~Computers in other domains~Agriculture • Computing methodologies~Artificial intelligence

**Additional Keywords and Phrases:** agriculture, farming, migrants, migration, automation, sustainability

## ACM Reference Format:

First Author's Name, Initials, and Last Name, Second Author's Name, Initials, and Last Name, and Third Author's Name, Initials, and Last Name. 2018. The Title of the Paper: ACM Conference Proceedings Manuscript Submission Template: This is the subtitle of the paper, this document both explains and embodies the submission format for authors using Word. In Woodstock '18: ACM Symposium on Neural Gaze Detection, June 03–05, 2018, Woodstock, NY. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 10 pages. NOTE: This block will be automatically generated when manuscripts are processed after acceptance.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

In 2018, two significant developments emerged in Canadian agriculture and farming practices. First, the number of temporary agricultural workers in Canada reached a record high. As of 2018, 54,734 migrants entered Canada to work in agriculture on a temporary basis rising from 29,000 people in 2009 [14][34]. Upon arrival into Canada, migrant farmworkers do not hold the same rights and freedoms as citizens, permanent residents or refugees [39]. This lack of legal security can lead to a complexity of barriers and inequalities that affect temporary workers' health, safety, and freedoms [6].

The second development that occurred in 2018 was the Canadian federal government allotted \$5 million toward developing greenhouse automation [16]. The following year, the government invested a further \$49.5 million to Canadian Agri-Food Automation and Intelligence Network (CAAIN) [18][19]. These federal investments support technologies such as remote sensing of soil moisture levels [17], automated vertical farming systems [32], and robots programmed for water irrigation in greenhouses [18]. There is concern, however, that agri-food automation and precision technologies are also being used for 'farm management' or involuntary surveillance of migrant farm labour [35] and that some of these technologies do not consider existing migrant farming practices [31]. Given both the prominence and precarity of temporary migrant farm work in Canada, it is critical to investigate how these technologies impact the social and hierarchical nature of relationships and labour processes on farm sites such as greenhouses as well as how these technologies are designed to participate in existing agricultural processes. Existing and historical migrant farm labour processes should also be included in this investigation as the designation of migrant farm labour as 'low-skilled' has likely led to its exclusion as a site worth studying. Through this project, we plan to investigate two major research questions: (1) How are migrant farm workers affected by increased automation in their labour practices? and (2) How are agri-food automation technologies designed to participate in existing agricultural processes?

Through participation in the CHI 'Migration and Mobility in HCI' workshop, we would like to explore the following questions and topics:

- There is currently a lack of HCI literature addressing domestic migrant work in general but particularly in the context of rural labour. What are the challenges in conducting research in these areas and what is the perceived relevance for the field of HCI?
- What methodologies have been employed by others to study circular migration patterns in HCI?
- How do others conduct research with vulnerable migrant communities from an ethics of care perspective? How and when is data collected and analysed?
- What are some best practices for establishing community partnerships for conducting research? What are the benefits and drawbacks of these partnerships and how do they contribute to the 'real-life' impact of the research?
- How can we conduct HCI research that helps to solve pressing climate change challenges that require rapid and radical action and attention while also adhering to design social justice principles, which advocate for the centering of marginalized people's perspectives and needs through community building?

## **2 MIGRANT FARM WORK IN CANADA**

Canada has a long history of relying on temporary foreign labour to sustain its food systems [2][26]. The Seasonal Agricultural Workers Programme (SAWP) was established in 1966 in Canada in response to a severe agricultural labour shortage [14]. SAWP participants are invited to apply each year from 12 countries in the Caribbean as well as from Mexico [7][11]. Work contracts range from 6 to 8 months [27]. The Temporary Foreign Workers Programme (TFWP) was introduced in 1973 as a more flexible alternative to SAWP. Individuals may apply for a work permit from any country and the employment contract is not time-restricted [27]. In recent years, a rising proportion of agricultural work in Canada has been conducted by workers under SAWP and TFWP as is exemplified in 2018, where 54,734 migrants entered Canada to work in agriculture, making up the majority of the agricultural production and packing workforce [7].

Temporary migrant farm workers do not have the same rights as Canadian citizens or those entering under a 'high skilled' working visa, leading to a complexity of barriers and inequalities that affect these individuals' health, safety, and freedoms [6]. Both SAWP and TFWP point to how the economic viability of food production and processing in Canada is dependent upon migrant farmworkers who face deep-seated racial and economic inequalities [39].

### **3 IMPACTS OF EMERGING AGRI-TECH ON FARM WORKERS**

Some of the promised benefits of adopting automation and technology in agriculture are "cutting down the cost of labor, helping to grow and deliver food in fast efficient ways, and making food and its production cheaper, healthier, and more environmentally sustainable" [31]. These benefits do consider some of the impacts that technologies have already had on existing agricultural labour processes. Automation has cut greenhouse jobs in half on some farming sites and surveillance technologies are beginning to be employed "to regiment workers to determine their pace at work and their production levels" [31]. Furthermore, workers report that these new technologies often make mistakes or miscalculations or work too slowly, which can be very stressful as while "robots cannot be penalized for their slow pace, agricultural workers can" [31].

### **4 EXISTING LITERATURE IN HCI**

In the *ACM Digital Library*, there is a small cluster of existing research on migrant farmworkers that was conducted from 2010 to 2014 in rural China. These papers mainly focus on public service digital infrastructures designed to support migrant farm workers [24][25][38][39]. There is also a limited body of literature addressing rural farming more broadly focusing on such areas as supporting home-work coordination on the farm [20] leveraging permaculture philosophies to open up new directions for sustainable HCI [21] and how to rethink digital platforms for rural Indian farmers who are emergent technological users [8]. Scholarship on domestic migrant labour more broadly is clustered in the areas of media platforms and practices [9][22] and building digital infrastructures [30].

While there is little research addressing migrant farm labour specifically, a couple of studies mention hired farm workers and the potential impacts of emerging agri-tech for these people [12][32]. In their discourse analysis of Big Ag start-up websites in the U.S. (2019), Steup, et. al. describe how certain farm management software companies sell labour management products which assume "human workers [...] are inefficient by nature; automation is more time-and cost effective" [32]. Another study situated on a Rwandan crop farm that is piloting drone technologies owned and operated by a multinational company, investigates farm workers' perceptions and concerns regarding the possibility of drones being introduced to their daily work [12]. Both of these projects use critical data studies to critique data's perceived objectivity and to call into question who data collection is really for [12][32].

Critical data studies along with Sustainable HCI and adjacent areas of HCI related to mobility and circular migration are all important areas to further consider in relation to migrant farm labour. The area of rural computing is also worth exploring particularly given the unique considerations related to digital infrastructure, geographic isolation, and how technologies are commonly misaligned with rural considerations as they have been designed in accordance with urban assumptions [12]. While rural farming HCI studies have been conducted in the Global North or the Global South, very few have focused on entanglements between the two developmental regions.

## 5 IMPLICATIONS FOR HCI

For our future work, we will investigate the impacts that emerging agri-food technologies have on migrant labour practices in southwestern Ontario, Canada. We will use qualitative methods of semi-structured interviews with migrant farm workers, greenhouse farmers and agri-food tech company subject matter experts as well as on-site visits and observations of the greenhouses. Through this exploration, we will hope to determine ways to centre migrant labourers in the development of agri-food technologies in order to improve safety, working conditions, sustainability and agency.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. H. Alkon and J. Agyeman (Eds.). 2011. *Cultivating food justice: Race, class and sustainability*. Cambridge: The MIT Press.
- [2] T. Basok. 2002. *Tortillas and tomatoes: transmigrant Mexican harvesters in Canada*. Montreal and Kingston: McGill-Queen's University Press.
- [3] T. Basok, D. Bélanger, and E. Rivas. 2014. Reproducing deportability: Migrant agricultural workers in south-western Ontario. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 40, 9, 1394–1413.
- [4] T. Basok and D. Bélanger. 2016. Migration management, disciplinary power, and performances of subjectivity: Agricultural migrant workers in Ontario. In *Canadian Journal of Sociology* 41, 2, 139–163.
- [5] R. M. Bridi. 2020. Spaces of alienation in the tobacco fields: the case of migrant workers in Ontario, Canada. In *GeoJournal*, 18 June 2020.
- [6] C. Susana Caxaj and Luis Diaz. 2018. Migrant workers' (non)belonging in rural British Columbia, Canada: storied experiences of marginal living. *International Journal of Migration, Health and Social Care* 14, 2.
- [7] C. Susana Caxaj and Amy Cohen. 2020. Emerging best practices for supporting temporary migrant farmworkers in western Canada. In *Health and Social Care in the Community* 29, 250-258.
- [8] Mrunal Dhaygude & Dipanjan Chakraborty. 2020. Rethinking design of digital platforms for emergent users: Findings from a study with rural Indian farmers. In *IndiaCHI*, 62-69.
- [9] Sanjay Ghosh, Sarita Sehagiri, Aditya Ponnada. 2016. Exploring regional user experience for designing ultra low cost smart phones. *CHI Conference*, 768-776.
- [10] Government of Canada. 2019a. Hire a temporary worker through the Seasonal Agricultural Worker Program: Overview. Retrieved from <https://www.canada.ca/en/employment-social-development/services/foreign-workers/agricultural/seasonal-agricultural.html>
- [11] Government of Canada. 2019b. Temporary foreign worker program 2018Q1-2019Q1. Retrieved from <https://open.canada.ca/data/en/dataset/e8745-429-21e7-4a73-b3f5-90a77-9b78d1e>
- [12] Benjamin V. Hanrahan, Carleen Maitland, Timothy Brown, Anita Chen, Fraterne Kagame, and Beatrice Birir. 2021. Agency and Extraction in Emerging Industrial Drone Applications: Imaginaries of Rwandan Farm Workers and Community Members. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 4, CSCW3, Article 233, 21 pages.
- [13] Jean Hardy, Susan Wyche & Tiffany Veinot. 2019. Rural HCI research: Definitions, distinctions, methods and opportunities. *PACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, Vol. 3, No. CSCW, Article 196,
- [14] Mervyn Horgan and Saara Liinamaa. 2017. The social quarantining of migrant labour: everyday effects of temporary foreign worker regulation in Canada. In *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 43, 5, 713-730.
- [15] HRSDC. 2010. Temporary foreign worker program: Labour market opinion (LMO) statistics, 2006-2009. Accessed March 20, 2021. >[http://www.hrsdc.gc.ca/eng/workplaceskills/foreign\\_workers/stats/annual/table10a.shtml](http://www.hrsdc.gc.ca/eng/workplaceskills/foreign_workers/stats/annual/table10a.shtml)>
- [16] David Israelson. 2019. Robots lead the way as Jeffery's Greenhouses become more automated. In *The Globe and Mail*. Published Aug. 20, 2019. Retrieved Feb 28, 2021. <https://www.theglobeandmail.com/amp/business/article-robots-lead-the-way-as-jefferys-greenhouses-become-more-automated/>
- [17] Inno-3B Technologies. Accessed March 20, 2021. <https://www.inno-3b.com/en/technology>
- [18] Isabelle Kirkwood. 2019. Canadian agri-food network receives \$49.5 million to develop agri-tech solutions. In *Betakit*. Web article. Retrieved Feb. 28, 2021. <https://betakit.com/canadian-agri-food-network-receives-49-5-million-to-develop-agri-tech-solutions/>
- [19] Grimsy Lincoln. 2018. Feds provide up to \$5M to improve greenhouse automation. In *The Standard*. Web Article. Retrieved Feb. 28, 2021. <https://www.stcatharinesstandard.ca/news/niagara-region/2018/11/26/feds-provide-up-to-5m-to-improve-greenhouse-automation.html>
- [20] Gilly Leshed, Maria Hakansson and Joseph 'Jofish' Kaye. 2014. "Our life is the farm and farming is our life": Home-work coordination in organic farm families. In *CSCW '14. Proceedings of the 17th ACM conference on computer supported cooperative work and social computing*, 487-498.
- [21] Szu-Yu (Cyn) Liu, Shaowen Bardzell and Jeffrey Bardzell. 2018. Out of control: reframing sustainable HCI using permaculture. In *LIMITS '18*.

- [22] Aparna Moitra, Vishnupriya Das, Gram Vaani. Archana Kumar, Aaditeshwar Seth. 2016. Design lessons from creating a mobile-based community media platform in rural India. *ICTD '16*, 14, 1-11.
- [23] Mihaela Nedelcu and Ibrahim Soysuren. 2020. Precarious migrants, migration regimes and the digital technologies: The empowerment-control nexus. In *Journal of Ethic and Migration Studies*.
- [24] E. Oreglia. 2013. When technology doesn't fit: Information sharing practices among farmers in rural China." In *ICTD '13*, 7-10.
- [25] E. Oreglia, Y. Liu, Y and M. Zhao. 2011. Designing for emerging rural users: Experiences from China. *CHI '11*.
- [26] Adam Perry. 2012. Barely legal: Racism and migrant farm labour in the context of Canadian multiculturalism. In *Citizenship Studies*, 16, 2, 189-201.
- [27] Adam Perry. 2018. Living at work and intra-worker sociality among migrant farm workers in Canada. In *Journal of International Migration and Integration* 19, 4, 1021–1036.
- [28] K. Preibisch and L. Binford, L. 2007. Interrogating racialized global labour supply: an exploration of the racial/national replacement of foreign agricultural workers in Canada. *CRSA/RCSA* 44, 1, 5–36.
- [29] K. Preibisch and E. Grez. 2010. The other side of el Otro Lado: Mexican migrant women and labor flexibility in Canadian agriculture. In *Signs: journal of women in culture and society* 35, 2, 289–316.
- [30] Vivek Raghavan, Sanjay Vain & Pramod Varma. 2019. India Stack: Digital infrastructure as public good. *Communications of the ACM*, Nove 2019. 62: 11, 76-81.
- [31] Chris Ramsaroor. 2019. Reality Check 101: Rethinking the impact of automation and surveillance on farm workers. In *Points: Data & Society*. Web article. Retrieved Feb. 28, 2021. <https://points.datasociety.net/reality-check-101-c6e501c3b9a3>
- [32] Rosemary Steup, Lynn Dombrowski, and Norman Makoto Su. 2019. Feeding the World with Data: Visions of Data-Driven Farming. In *Proceedings of the 2019 on Designing Interactive Systems Conference (DIS '19)*. 1503–1515.
- [33] Skaha Remote Sensing. Accessed March 20, 2021. <https://www.skahasensing.ca/>
- [34] Statistics Canada. 2020. Temporary foreign workers in the agricultural sector, 2016 to 2018. Released April 12, 2020. Accessed March 20, 2020. <https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/pub/11-627-m/11-627-m2020030-eng.htm>
- [35] United Food and Commercial Workers, 2020. The status of migrant farm workers in Canada 2019–2020. Rexdale, Ontario: United Food and Commercial Workers.
- [36] Vineland Research and Innovation Institute. 2021. Homepage. Retrieved Feb. 28, 2021. <https://www.vinelandresearch.com/about/>
- [37] F. Wang and L. Gu. 2012. The critical success factors for websites for Chinese migrant workers: a multi-case study. In *ICEGOV '12*, 22-25.
- [38] F. Wang and Y. Chen. 2010. "From potential users to practical users: Use of e-government service by Chinese migrant farmer workers." In *ICEGOV '10*, 25-28.
- [39] A. M. Weiler, J. McLaughlin and D. C. Cole. 2017. Food security at whose expense? A critique of the Canadian temporary farm labour migration regime and proposals for change. In *International Migration* 55, 4, 48-63.